

Catheter dysfunction and lock solutions: are we there yet?

Vandana Dua Niyyar

Department of Medicine, Division of Nephrology, Emory University, Atlanta, GA, USA

Correspondence and offprint requests to: Vandana Dua Niyyar; E-mail: vniyyar@emory.edu;
Twitter handle: @vandaniyyar

INTRODUCTION

Central venous catheter dysfunction continues to be a major source of morbidity and mortality in hemodialysis patients [1], in large part due to infections [2] and/or thrombus formation [3]. There is some evidence that these two processes may be interrelated, as infectious complications increase the risk of catheter-related thrombosis [4] and, once a thrombus is formed, it acts as a nidus for infection [5]. It is essential to understand the underlying pathophysiology, which forms the rationale for the use of lock solutions in the interdialytic period. The endothelial injury that occurs at the time of catheter placement, activation of coagulation and inflammatory pathways and the intraluminal stasis of blood between treatments (Virchow's triad) leads to thrombus and fibrin sheath formation [6]. Catheter-related bacteremia may result from external migration of bacteria from the skin or intraluminal spread, which leads to colonization. Bacterial adherence and resistance are further enhanced by biofilm formation, which protects the bacteria from systemic antibiotics [7].

Interdialytic catheter lock solutions that prevent both thrombosis and infection may help to alleviate some of these complications, as both these processes are primarily localized within the lumen of the catheter. A caveat that universally impacts the utility of lock solutions is spillage into the systemic circulation. *In vitro* studies suggest that a significant amount of the lock solution leaks into the circulation [8], although *in vivo* studies demonstrate that the actual leakage is significantly lower and is more pronounced in nontunneled catheters as compared with tunneled catheters [9]. Moreover, the wide variety of catheter lock solutions, limitations in previous study designs and the diverse patient population in whom these solutions have been studied thus far preclude generalized recommendations for catheter lock use. A multitude of solutions have been used over the years, but each of them has specific limitations [10].

Prophylactic antithrombotic catheter lock solutions

The conventional gold standard, heparin, has fallen out of favor due to concerns of inadvertent systemic anticoagulation [11], adverse events [12] and its tendency to induce biofilm formation [13]. Trisodium citrate 4% is as efficacious in maintaining catheter patency as heparin [14] and has the added

advantage of antimicrobial properties [15]. There have been concerns of protein precipitation with higher concentrations, and an *in vitro* study suggests that 10% citrate may be used judiciously with minimal risk of embolic complications [16]. Indeed, 30% citrate when compared with heparin in a randomized double-blind controlled trial was found to have a shorter event-free survival in subclavian catheters, which the authors attributed to the hydraulic aspects of the lock solution [17]. Thrombolytic agents, including tissue plasminogen activator (tPA), have the ability to lyse the clot and can be used for both prophylaxis and treatment, although the costs may be prohibitive for routine prophylactic use, even when the substitution of heparin with recombinant tPA is only once weekly, in the midweek session [18]. Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) in combination with minocycline, compared with 30% citrate and low-dose heparin in a 1:1:1 randomization, preserved catheter patency better than heparin and equivalent to citrate [19]. However, in another prospective randomized controlled trial comparing EDTA with heparin, although EDTA significantly reduced tunneled hemodialysis catheter colonization, the reduction in catheter-related bloodstream infections was not statistically significant and the EDTA group was associated with more thrombotic complications as compared with those subjects receiving heparin [20]. In an attempt to put this all together, a meta-analysis compared heparin with numerous other lock solutions, including varying concentrations of citrate, tPA, ethanol, cefotaxime–heparin, taurolidine–citrate and hypertonic saline–heparin, in 1883 subjects in both experimental studies and observational designs and found no significant difference in catheter patency between heparin and those treated with other lock solutions [21].

Prophylactic antimicrobial catheter lock solutions

Antimicrobial locks include both antiseptics and antibiotics. Antiseptics penetrate the biofilm without exposing the patient to side effects from systemic antibiotics but may be associated with an increased need for thrombolytics [22]. Antibiotic lock solutions including vancomycin, gentamicin, cefazolin, cefotaxime, minocycline, ciprofloxacin and linezolid are usually combined with an anticoagulant such as heparin, citrate or EDTA. Ideally, antibiotic locks for prophylaxis should be restricted to

those patients in whom a catheter-related bacteremia would have devastating consequences or those at high risk of infection, due to concerns of systemic side effects and development of resistance [23]. Bactericidal properties of 70% ethanol, which acts by protein denaturation and has the ability to penetrate biofilms, were recently evaluated in a prospective, open-label, randomized controlled trial. The results demonstrated a trend toward increased catheter survival and a decreased rate of catheter-associated bloodstream infection with the use of a once-weekly ethanol lock [24]. A recent meta-analysis evaluated a total of 2575 patients with 3375 catheters from seven eligible randomized controlled trials and found ethanol locks significantly reduced the incidence of catheter-related bloodstream infections in hemodialysis patients with tunneled central venous catheters [25].

Prophylactic dually effective catheter lock solutions

A unique combination of 7% sodium citrate, 0.05% methylene blue and 0.165% parabens showed significantly less catheter-related bacteremia and a trend toward decreased catheter loss secondary to patency failure in a multicenter prospective randomized open-label clinical trial [26]. Researchers compared 4% citrate to taurolidine–citrate with heparin twice a week and taurolidine–citrate with urokinase once a week in another prospective multicenter randomized controlled trial [27]. Their data showed that the combined regular use of two commercially available taurolidine-based catheter lock solutions in a 2:1 protocol reduced the incidence of both catheter-related bacteremia and catheter dysfunction when compared with standard 4% citrate lock solution. Postmarketing experience with another solution containing taurolidine, heparin and calcium citrate showed a reduction in both catheter thrombosis and catheter-related bloodstream infections as compared with recent historical controls [28]. The prophylactic use of solution containing urokinase, taurolidine and 4% citrate once a week is also currently being evaluated in a multicenter randomized double-blind placebo-controlled study [29].

Although these combination protocols have proven to be safe and efficacious, it is difficult to isolate which of the components are responsible for the antithrombotic and antimicrobial effects seen in these studies. Nonetheless, these advances are promising and the development of novel lock solutions that maintain patency and decrease catheter-related bacteremia without serious complications continues to be an area of active and ongoing research.

Sodium bicarbonate: the ‘key’ to the lock?

In this issue of *Nephrology Dialysis and Transplantation*, El-Hennawy *et al.* [30] compare sodium bicarbonate to normal saline as a catheter lock solution in a prospective cohort, clinical open-label trial. Sodium bicarbonate is inexpensive and readily available and currently there is a paucity of data in the hemodialysis population with the use of this lock solution. The underlying premise for the use of sodium bicarbonate as a catheter lock solution is its antimicrobial and antithrombotic properties. The authors cite a plethora of evidence that warrants evaluation of sodium bicarbonate as a prophylactic lock solution. Some of

these include bicarbonate-mediated chelation of calcium ions, which leads to decreased conversion of fibrinogen to fibrin, and that alkali solutions, such as those containing sodium bicarbonate, interfere with bacterial adherence and impact biofilm formation.

The researchers compared normal saline catheter lock solution for the first 9 months of the protocol ($n = 226$) with sodium bicarbonate catheter lock solution over the next 9 months ($n = 225$) in all patients requiring hemodialysis with central venous catheters. The study included both internal jugular catheters (tunneled and nontunneled) and femoral catheters (nontunneled). Primary outcomes were catheter loss due to catheter-related thrombus or catheter-related bloodstream infection. The normal saline cohort had higher odds of losing a catheter due to thrombi {odds ratio [OR] 26.6 [95% confidence interval (CI) 3.57–198.52]} and infections [OR 15.9 (95% CI 2.09–121.61)]. Patients who received sodium bicarbonate lock solutions had significantly reduced catheter loss due to thrombi ($P < 0.0001$) or bloodstream infections ($P = 0.0004$). These preliminary results are encouraging, although with the wide CIs, the magnitude of the potential benefit is uncertain and warrants further study.

There are major limitations of the current study, including a sequential study design that does not account for additional external factors that may have contributed to improved outcomes in the second group and the inclusion of both tunneled internal jugular and nontunneled catheters in internal jugular and femoral veins. Although the authors controlled for the type of catheter in a subsequent analysis, other factors unique to each situation may have contributed to the study outcomes. It would indeed be a step forward if sodium bicarbonate as a catheter lock solution is shown to have antimicrobial and antithrombotic properties, in addition to the fact that it is inexpensive and readily available, and the authors should be commended for this study. The significant decrease in both catheter-related thrombus and catheter-related bloodstream infections with the use of sodium bicarbonate locks in this study demonstrates proof of concept. However, the study design needs to be modified significantly in future studies to provide conclusive evidence in this regard. A well-designed randomized controlled trial would assist in further delineating the role of sodium bicarbonate locks in the management of catheter dysfunction. If proven effective and safe, then a catheter lock solution like sodium bicarbonate, that prevents both thrombosis and infection, maintains the structural integrity of the catheter and is not associated with the development of antimicrobial resistance, is highly desirable.

CONCLUSION

The search is on for the perfect ‘solution’, and although there have been remarkable advances in recent years, the field is still wide open for future innovation. The best prophylaxis still remains avoidance of central venous catheter placement in the first place, and if necessity mandates catheter placement, there should be a plan to remove the catheter as soon as feasible. Meticulous infection control and hygienic measures, education of all dialysis staff on proper catheter care and universal

adoption of strict aseptic techniques may help minimize the morbidity and mortality associated with central venous catheters [31].

CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

None declared. The results presented in this article have not been published previously in whole or part and the manuscript has been submitted solely to this journal and is not published, in press or submitted elsewhere.

(See related article by El-Hennawy *et al.* Sodium bicarbonate catheter lock solution reduces hemodialysis catheter loss due to catheter-related thrombosis and blood stream infection: an open-label clinical trial. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 2019; 34: 1739–1745)

REFERENCES

- Niyyar VD, Chan MR. Interventional nephrology: catheter dysfunction—prevention and troubleshooting. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol* 2013; 8: 1234–1243
- Saad TF. Bacteremia associated with tunneled, cuffed hemodialysis catheters. *Am J Kidney Dis* 1999; 34: 1114–1124
- Oliver MJ, Mendelssohn DC, Quinn RR *et al.* Catheter patency and function after catheter sheath disruption: a pilot study. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol* 2007; 2: 1201–1206
- van Rooden CJ, Schippers EF, Barge RM *et al.* Infectious complications of central venous catheters increase the risk of catheter-related thrombosis in hematology patients: a prospective study. *J Clin Oncol* 2005; 23: 2655–2660
- Mehall JR, Saltzman DA, Jackson RJ *et al.* Fibrin sheath enhances central venous catheter infection. *Crit Care Med* 2002; 30: 908–912
- Niyyar VD. Catheter dysfunction: the role of lock solutions. *Semin Dial* 2012; 25: 693–699
- Fux CA, Costerton JW, Stewart PS *et al.* Survival strategies of infectious biofilms. *Trends Microbiol* 2005; 13: 34–40
- Polaschegg HD. Catheter locking-solution spillage: theory and experimental verification. *Blood Purif* 2008; 26: 255–260
- Markota I, Markota D, Tomic M. Measuring of the heparin leakage into the circulation from central venous catheters—an in vivo study. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 2009; 24: 1550–1553
- Niyyar VD, Lok CE. Pros and cons of catheter lock solutions. *Curr Opin Nephrol Hypertens* 2013; 22: 669–674
- Sungur M, Eryuksel E, Yavas S *et al.* Exit of catheter lock solutions from double lumen acute haemodialysis catheters—an in vitro study. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 2007; 22: 3533–3537
- Yevzlin AS, Sanchez RJ, Hiatt JG *et al.* Concentrated heparin lock is associated with major bleeding complications after tunneled hemodialysis catheter placement. *Semin Dial* 2007; 20: 351–354
- Shanks RM, Sargent JL, Martinez RM *et al.* Catheter lock solutions influence staphylococcal biofilm formation on abiotic surfaces. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 2006; 21: 2247–2255
- Yon CK, Low CL. Sodium citrate 4% versus heparin as a lock solution in hemodialysis patients with central venous catheters. *Am J Health Syst Pharm* 2013; 70: 131–136
- Weijmer MC, Debets-Ossenkopp YJ, van de Vondervoort FJ *et al.* Superior antimicrobial activity of trisodium citrate over heparin for catheter locking. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 2002; 17: 2189–2195
- Schilcher G, Scharnagl H, Horina JH *et al.* Trisodium citrate induced protein precipitation in haemodialysis catheters might cause pulmonary embolism. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 2012; 27: 2953–2957
- Correa Barcellos F, Pereira Nunes B, Jorge Valle L *et al.* Comparative effectiveness of 30% trisodium citrate and heparin lock solution in preventing infection and dysfunction of hemodialysis catheters: a randomized controlled trial (CITRIM trial). *Infection* 2017; 45: 139–145
- Hemmelgarn BR, Moist LM, Lok CE *et al.* Prevention of dialysis catheter malfunction with recombinant tissue plasminogen activator. *N Engl J Med* 2011; 364: 303–312
- Luiz MV, Scavone C, Tzanno C. The CLOCK trial, a double-blinded randomized controlled trial: trisodium citrate 30% and minocycline 3 mg/mL plus EDTA 30 mg/mL are effective and safe for catheter patency maintenance among CKD 5D patients on hemodialysis. *Hemodial Int* 2017; 21: 294–304
- Kanaa M, Wright MJ, Akbani H *et al.* Cathasept line lock and microbial colonization of tunneled hemodialysis catheters: a multicenter randomized controlled trial. *Am J Kidney Dis* 2015; 66: 1015–1023
- Chapla K, Oza-Gajera BP, Yevzlin AS *et al.* Hemodialysis catheter locking solutions and the prevention of catheter dysfunction: a meta-analysis. *J Vasc Access* 2015; 16: 107–112
- Solomon LR, Cheesbrough JS, Ebah L *et al.* A randomized double-blind controlled trial of taurididine-citrate catheter locks for the prevention of bacteremia in patients treated with hemodialysis. *Am J Kidney Dis* 2010; 55: 1060–1068
- Landry DL, Braden GL, Gobeille SL *et al.* Emergence of gentamicin-resistant bacteremia in hemodialysis patients receiving gentamicin lock catheter prophylaxis. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol* 2010; 5: 1799–1804
- Broom JK, Krishnasamy R, Hawley CM *et al.* A randomised controlled trial of Heparin versus EthAnol Lock THerapy for the prevention of Catheter Associated infecTion in Haemodialysis patients—the HEALTHY-CATH trial. *BMC Nephrol* 2012; 13: 146
- Zhao T, Liu H, Han J. Ethanol lock is effective on reducing the incidence of tunneled catheter-related bloodstream infections in hemodialysis patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int Urol Nephrol* 2018; 50: 1643–1652
- Maki DG, Ash SR, Winger RK *et al.* A novel antimicrobial and antithrombotic lock solution for hemodialysis catheters: a multi-center, controlled, randomized trial. *Crit Care Med* 2011; 39: 613–620
- Winnicki W, Herkner H, Lorenz M *et al.* Taurididine-based catheter lock regimen significantly reduces overall costs, infection, and dysfunction rates of tunneled hemodialysis catheters. *Kidney Int* 2018; 93: 753–760
- Reidenberg BE, Wanner C, Polsky B *et al.* Postmarketing experience with Neutrolin® (taurididine, heparin, calcium citrate) catheter lock solution in hemodialysis patients. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis* 2018; 37: 661–663
- Bonkain F, Van Hulle F, Janssens P *et al.* Urokinase-containing locking solution in the prevention of dialysis catheter dysfunction: a double blind randomized controlled trial. *J Vasc Access* 2017; 18: 436–442
- El-Hennawy AS, Frolova E, Romney WA. Sodium bicarbonate catheter lock solution reduces hemodialysis catheter loss due to catheter-related thrombosis and blood stream infection: an open-label clinical trial. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 2019; 34: 1739–1745
- Labriola L, Crott R, Jadoul M. Preventing haemodialysis catheter-related bacteraemia with an antimicrobial lock solution: a meta-analysis of prospective randomized trials. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 2008; 23: 1666–1672

Received: 10.1.2019; Editorial decision: 18.1.2019